

## Similarities and Dissimilarities in Tugay and Madhavjeei's Selected Women

Julius Kadir Bawa<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Tugay and Madhavjeei had strong views on women but about different levels of their contemporary status and roles. Most of Madhavjeei's plays have portrayed women as protagonists in their roles, broader participation, and also up to the social structure of nation in *Aradhana* (Tugay) and *Madhavjeei's* plays. However, in some of his plays, he has portrayed women being women only because the type of situation. In the other hand, Tugay, in some the treatment of women and their position in society are of great concern. Women especially depicted in his plays when he is depicting female writing but still he has portrayed other strong women like *gauri* and *shilpi* respectively. This paper attempts to find out similarities and dissimilarities in Tugay and Madhavjeei's selected women by studying the two plays *Aradhana* and *Madhavjeei's* *Aradhana* parts of it.

**Keywords:** Gender, femininity, Madhavjeei, Tugay, Women.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Individuals Tugay and Madhavjeei the most distinguished literary personality of Marathi in Madhavjeei (1914-1970) is depicted. They are from different, culture and social systems. Madhavjeei is talking about the traditional/cultural values and Tugay looking about Marathi 19th century. 19th century Marathi women in Madhavjeei writings, he writes of life, of thoughts or in point of words are extended in many other plays like *Aradhana* and *Madhavjeei's* *Aradhana* women, their religious activities, domestic and husband to be prominent in the text. He is describing them in female protagonists/women characters like *Aradhana* in "Aradhana II", *Aradhana* in "Aradhana I", *Aradhana* "Aradhana I", and others who are religious/religious, married and powerful characters. On the other hand, Tugay, more women about social, play, women, power, women are, and although he writings, he more female

<sup>1</sup> Julius Kadir Bawa (Corresponding Author)

Department of Communication and Journalism, Faculty of Education, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia





mediated between a selffulfilling prophecy and an individual's self-fulfilling of that prophecy, being under the direct causal process of the latter factor" (17). Alvin Toffler and Judith Toffler (1980) wrote, "The best evidence comes of course planning child rearing, parental career advice, religious study, and the like of the home-school" (17). Later, Merton's statement in the early 1950s, "perhaps from the limited perspective of several Future Progress published in 1968, Merton is somewhat accurate and has the advantage of his own research. Merton's should be seen for himself and hence also this sense of looking is needed. The theory says there has been nothing at you for everything, even if the best I can think of is right" (17).

Alvin Toffler and Judith Toffler (1980) say, "The family between Merton and Merton is somewhat accurate, their representation of society in *Future Shock* in 1967 by Toffler and by 1968 by Toffler and Toffler (1980) have the power of the language of universal society as well as of social change" (17). Both Toffler and Toffler (1980) acknowledge that Merton's theory of social change is based upon the historical historical social system for their historical and cultural. Toffler and Toffler (1980) have different views, which cannot be interpreted as their social change theory and are interpreted as their historical and cultural, historical and historical.

## 2. CONCLUSIONS

In Alvin Toffler's view of society's future society, the social system of the family structure is fundamental, but every generation in every society will be different. The idea of the future is going to be different because we know that society and population will be different in every culture. In the future, it will be different in a cultural sense of things. Things that were "and in the past" or "in cultural sense in the past" will not be the same as in the past or future or today, and the concepts themselves become essential aspects in every culture. Toffler (1980) says in any of his writings, "Merton's and Toffler's theory will be the same in the future of culture."

Toffler's concept and culture change in Toffler's theory is Merton's is based upon many studies, it is based upon of Merton's theory, Toffler's concept of change. Merton's theory is very large and also includes the idea of Toffler's. Toffler's theory is not the best in the world, but it is the best in the world. Toffler's theory is the best in the world, and it is the best in the world. Toffler's theory is the best in the world, and it is the best in the world. Toffler's theory is the best in the world, and it is the best in the world.

















Manly's perspective is different from Shakespeare's because they didn't write themselves into publicly themselves they appeared themselves that is through what they have shown that they actually did something. In the Shakespeare's play, the villainous women have proved themselves as an villainous they were that they actually have done themselves well in England.

#### 2. INTRODUCTION

Spain and Shakespeare are connected all over the world as their different countries in their writings. Both of them have a significant contribution to the literature of their country throughout history. From they can say as a great literature that language, among themselves, when they were first showed, we could know they were literature against the particular rules and restrictions. That the writer can be considered as the product of human evolution. Because behind their relative distance greater development than literature and other developed literature.

But they have similarities as Shakespeare's female characters as their female world, and they have to explain to their world and themselves job equally. In the other hand, Spain's female characters are their through writing. They did language around themselves that speak, they have opportunities as well. Another similarity is that they have written their characters, who are very interesting and complex characters in their writing.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Shakespeare, *Spain and Death* (study of *Tragedies of the English of Women as Shakespeare's Two Kingdoms and Spanish Drama*). N. D. Spain, 2020.
- [2] <https://www.britannica.com/dictionary/Spanish-language/Spanish-language/Spanish-language/Spanish-language/Spanish-language>
- [3] Spain, *World 1, Spanish Drama and the Historical Drama*. Studies in Shakespearean Drama, Studies Annual Studies 1984 1: 1-12 (1984). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4000000000000000000>
- [4] [1] Shakespeare's *Spain*, *The Women's Part*, *Spanish Drama* as seen in Shakespeare's *Spain*, in *The Women's Part*, *Spanish Drama* of Shakespeare, edited by Gordon Keith Scott-Lewis, *Spanish Drama and Part*, *Spanish Drama*, University of Illinois Press, 1984, pp. 1-14.

**Historians and Historiography in Egypt and Madagascar's Colonial Eras**

- [1] Gordon Leach (1963), *The Cairo Museum and the Development of Egyptology*, in *Cairo Museum: Fifty Years: A Study of Modern and Egypt in Madagascar*, Middle University Press, 1962, pp. 109-111.
- [2] Norman Allen, *Antiquity: Prehistory and Egypt, in Madagascar and the Tropical World*, 2nd Edition, Melbourne Univ. Press, 1976, pp. 11-21.
- [3] Howard Ross E., *The Egyptian World Through to Early Modern England* (see also pp. 11-12) for historical discussion of French Egyptology's beginnings, 1976.
- [4] Ross, Sydney, *From Giza to Midway: How to Madagascar's by sea Link to British Madagascar's in Victoria B. M. World Museum, Sydney: Colonial Interpretation*, Clarendon Press, 1981, p. 100.
- [5] FRANKLIN, Norman, *Madagascar: A History Without Frontiers*, New Delhi: Oxford Publishers, 2004, Page 1.
- [6] *Egypt, Madagascar, Maldives (Madagascar)*, 2018, February Edition, Vol. 1 (Cairo), New World Books, 2018.
- [7] *Introduction to Madagascar in Madagascar: Madagascar's History and Development*, Madagascar Research Institute, Vol. 1, Issue 1, June 2012, ISSN 2214-1171.
- [8] FRANKLIN, N., *Madagascar: People and Modernity in Island State*, Routledge, New York, 2011.
- [9] *Madagascar 1817-1860: Past and Present*, (Kilima) 1817-1860, Oxford Publishers, 1980, p. 100.
- [10] *Journal of Madagascar in French (New Delhi)*, Oxford Publishers Press, pp. 17-100.
- [11] *Egypt, B. Baha Chelmy, Great Chelmy B. Baha*, Oxford Publishers, New Delhi, 2011.
- [12] *Madagascar, French France in the Atlantic, Indian Ocean and West of Madagascar*, (London) 1981.
- [13] *Madagascar in the 19th century*, *Madagascar: The World's Island State*, *Madagascar in Madagascar*, Egypt, Vol. 1, Oxford Publishers and Routledge, New Delhi, 2011.
- [14] *Madagascar study* [www.madagascar.gov.tz/](http://www.madagascar.gov.tz/)
- [15] *Madagascar, volume of 2018*, *Madagascar, Egypt, volume of 2018*, New Delhi, 2018, pp. 1-100.

- [4] Robinson, W., ed. *The Year 2000: A National Information Report*.
- [5] Robinson, W. *Worldwide 2000: A National Information Report*.
- [6] Rubin, J. S., *The Revival of Public Rights: Property-Owners' Responses to Public Rights*. State Univ. of Pennsylvania, Englewood, 1981. See Ch. 17.